

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/961,419 09/25/2001		Richard M. Ratliff	7099.1606	6914	
826	7590 05/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			AGWUMEZIE, CHARLES C		
BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000		4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	E, NC 28280-4000		3621		
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,419	RATLIFF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Charlie C. Agwumezie	3621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 S</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-88 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-88 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application of the contraction of the con	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/8/02, 5/28/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/961,419

Art Unit: 3621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-16, 18-26, 28-36, 38-68, 70, 73-75, 77-84, and 88, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Goodwin III et al European Patent Application Publication No. EP 0 973 112 A2.

1. As per <u>claims 1, 11, 21, 31, and 59</u>, Goodwin et al discloses a method for providing price information, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for price information associated with at least one item (figs. 4 and 5);

obtaining database results from a database responsive to the request (0034; 0044);

modifying at least one entry in the database results to reflect a more competitive price when compared to another entry in the database results (see figs. 4 and 5; 0032); and

providing the database results to a consumer after completing the modifying step (figs. 4 and 5; 0032; 0044).

Application/Control Number: 09/961,419

Art Unit: 3621

2. As per <u>claims 2, 12, 22, 32, 67 and 82</u>, Goodwin further discloses the method, wherein the at least one entry is modified in real time (0032).

Page 3

- 3. As per <u>claims 3, 13, 23, and 33</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the at least one entry is modified based on recently obtained information stored in cache (0032).
- 4. As per <u>claims 4, 14, 24, and 34</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the at least one entry is modified based on information obtained through a batch process (fig. 3).
- 5. As per <u>claims 5, 15, 25, 35, 68, and 83</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the database results are modified by combining a price and a non-monetary incentive to produce the more competitive price (0032).
- 6. As per <u>claims 6, 16, 26, 36, 69, and 84</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the database results are modified using at least one of increasing the price, decreasing the price, and modifying the price, based on a level of service provided, to produce the more competitive price (0039; 0045).
- 8. As per <u>claims 8, 18, 28, and 38</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the database results are modified by marking up the at least one entry, while

Application/Control Number: 09/961,419

Art Unit: 3621

maintaining a competitive price (0039).

9. As per <u>claims 9, 19, 29, and 39</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the database results are modified by submitting in real time a second request to a second database and receiving information to produce the more competitive price (figs. 4 and 5).

Page 4

- 10. As per <u>claims 10, 20, 30, and 40</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein the received information from the second database is based on information received with the second request (see figs. 4 and 5).
- 41. As per <u>claims 41, 49 and 74</u>, Goodwin et al discloses a network node that provides information, comprising:

a receiving device for receiving a request for information associated with an item (figs. 1, 4 and 5);

a database, accessible by the device, that provides results responsive to the request (fig. 1; 0034; 0044);

a rule processor that modifies at least one entry in the results to reflect a more competitive position when compared to another entry in the results (see figs. 4 and 5; 0032); and

a display device that displays the results to a consumer after the rule processor modifies the at least one entry (see figs. 4 and 5; 0032; 0044).

- 42. As per <u>claims 42, and 50</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node, wherein the rule processor modifies the at least one entry in real time (0032).
- 43. As per <u>claims 43, and 51</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node, wherein the rule processor modifies the at least one entry based on recently obtained and stored information (0032).
- 44. As per <u>claims 44, and 52</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node, wherein the rule processor modifies the at least one entry based on information obtained through a batch process (fig. 3).
- 45. As per <u>claims 45, and 53</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node, wherein the rule processor modifies the at least one entry by combining a price and a non-monetary incentive to produce the more competitive position (0032).
- 46. As per <u>claims 46, and 54</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node, wherein the rule processor modifies the at least one entry by using at least one of increasing a price, decreasing the price, and modifying the price, based on a level of service provided, to produce the more competitive position (0039; 0045).
- 48. As per claims 48, and 56, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node,

wherein the rule processor is located in a second network node and modifying the at least one entry comprises submitting in real time the request to the rule processor and receiving information with the more competitive position (0039).

- 57. As per <u>claim 57</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the system, wherein the means for modifying the at least one entry modifies the at least one entry by submitting in real time a second request to a second database and receiving information to produce the more competitive position (see figs. 4 and 5).
- 58. As per <u>claim 58</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the system, wherein the received information from the second database is based on information received with the second request (see figs. 4 and 5).
- 60. As per <u>claims 60 and 75</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein modifying the at least one entry includes providing the at least one entry at cost to reflect the more competitive position (0039).
- 62. As per claims 62 and 77, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein modifying the at least one entry includes providing the more competitive position for the at least one entry in exchange for a non-monetary incentive (fig. 5).
- 63. As per claims 63 and 78, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein

modifying the at least one entry includes applying a set of supplier rules to the at least one entry to determine a price associated with the at least one entry (figs. 4 and 5).

- As per <u>claims 64 and 79</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein applying the set of supplier rules includes increasing the price associated with the at least one entry to match at least one of the other entries in the results (figs. 4 and 5).
- As per <u>claims 65 and 80</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein applying the set of supplier rules includes providing the more competitive position, while maintaining a minimum price for the at least one entry (see figs. 4 and 5).
- As per <u>claims 66 and 81</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein applying the set of supplier rules includes providing the more competitive position, while maintaining a premium value above at least one of the other entries in the results (see figs. 4 and 5).
- 73. As per <u>claim 73</u>, Goodwin et al further discloses the method, wherein marking up the at least one entry above the supplier provided price includes marking up the supplier provided price to be one of equal and less than other entries in the results (figs. 4 and 5; 0039).
- 88. As per claim 88, Goodwin et al further discloses the network node, wherein the

means for modifying the at least one entry marks up the at least one entry by increasing the supplier provided price to be one of equal and less than other entries in the results (0039).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 17, 27, 37, 47, 55, 69, and 85, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin III et al European Patent Application Publication No. EP 0 973 112 A2 in view of Walker et al U.S. Patent No. 6553346 B1.

7. As per <u>claims 7,17, 27, 37, 47, 55, 69, and 85</u>, Goodwin et al failed to explicitly disclose the method, wherein the database results are modified by changing the availability of a class fare to produce the more competitive price.

Walker et al discloses the method, wherein the database results are modified by changing the availability of a class fare to produce the more competitive price (see fig. 7, 9 and 11; col. 5, lines 5-25).

Accordingly

Claims 71, 72, 76, 85 86, and 87, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goodwin III et al European Patent Application Publication No. EP 0 973 112 A2 in view of Examiner's Official Notice.

6. As per <u>claims 71, 72, 76, 86 and 87</u>, Goodwin et al failed to explicitly disclose a method wherein modifying the at least one entry further comprises sharing revenue derived from a sale of the at least one entry between an agent and a supplier of the item.

Goodwin however is directed to a method of managing competitive price information which are higher than competitive prices and dynamically changing or modifying the prices to obtain a competitive pricing. The idea of airline/Agent relationship and revenue sharing is old, conventional and notoriously well known in the industry.

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Goodwin et al and incorporate the method wherein modifying the at least one entry further comprises sharing revenue derived from a sale of the at least one entry between an agent and a supplier of the item as commonly practiced in the industry.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The reference cited to Boushy et al U.S. Patent 6,993,494 is a document considered relevant to the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles C. Agwumezie whose number is **(571) 272- 6838**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday 8:00 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272 – 6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

# Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300. [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"].

(571) 273-8300. [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building,

**401 Dulany Street** 

Alexandria VA. 22314

Charlie Lion Agwumezie Patent Examiner Art Unit 3621 May 19, 2006

JAMES A. REAGAN PRIMARY EXAMINER